Minutes of Monthly meeting held on Wednesday 3rd April 2024 at 7pm In the Vic Day Hall at the Memorial Hall

Present:, Cllr Richard Bartle (Chair), Cllr Bob Sheldon, Cllr Julia Jones (JJ), Cllr Elaine Ware (EW), Cllr Nathan Woodhouse (NW), Cllr Simon Howell (SH), Cllr Claire Winfield (CW), Cllr Gerard Pearson (GP), Cllr Bjorn Watson (BW), Cllr Lucy Brown (LB), Cllr Stu Coffey (SC)

In Attendance: Julia Evans, Lyn Frape

County Councillor:

District Councillors:

Public: 2 Members of the public

24/95 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST None. 24/96 MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING The minutes of the Parish Council meeting held on 6th March 2024 were approved. Proposed Clir Sheldon, Seconded Clir Pearson. RESOLVED. The minutes were signed. 24/97 MATTERS ARISING FROM LAST MEETING NOT ITEMISED ON THE AGENDA a) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - 23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/199 - 23/173 - 23/145 - 23/121 - 23/66 - 23/38 - 23/14) — Parking on the High Street. Consideration of options: Marked out plan had been circulated. Clir Howell talked through the plan. It was noted that the removal of yellow lines outside the methodist church might be considered in the future. The next step was to feed the Parish Council decision back to OCC who would then go out for public consultation. Plans were to be circulated to other parishes and to the Defence Academy. Clerk to contact them. It was hoped that the scheme would be up and running in the autumn. The plans were approved. Proposed Clir Howell, Seconded Clir Bartle. RESOLVED. b) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - 23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) — Planning enforcement matter. Clir Bartle had written to our District Councillor with the expectation of support but had received no reply. He had also written to the CEO of the Vale. No reply yet. It was noted that the houses were for sale. Clir Bartle was to speak at the next Vale meeting. It was also suggested that a letter of complaint be written. It was agreed that a further letter would be sent before a formal complaint was lodged. c) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - (23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) — Vale policy on waste bins - No progress. d) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/08) — Rough sleeper in Martens Road car park. CLOSED. PUBLIC REPRESENTATION a) SID Pole, Station Road. A resident questioned why the SID had not yet been installed on this pole. The process was explained. When asked whether a second SID could be purchased the Parish Council replied that there were no plans for this.	24/94	APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE	
24/95 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST None. 24/96 MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING The minutes of the Parish Council meeting held on 6th March 2024 were approved. Proposed Cllr Sheldon, Seconded Cllr Pearson. RESOLVED. The minutes were signed. MATTERS ARISING FROM LAST MEETING NOT ITEMISED ON THE AGENDA a) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - 23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/199 - 23/173 - 23/145 - 23/121 - 23/66 - 23/38 - 23/14) - Parking on the High Street. Consideration of options: Marked out plan had been circulated. Cllr Howell talked through the plan. It was noted that the removal of yellow lines outside the methodist church might be considered in the future. The next step was to feed the Parish Council decision back to OCC who would then go out for public consultation. Plans were to be circulated to other parishes and to the Defence Academy. Clerk to contact them. It was hoped that the scheme would be up and running in the autumn. The plans were approved. Proposed Cllr Howell, Seconded Cllr Bartle. RESOLVED. b) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - 23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) - Planning enforcement matter. Cllr Bartle had written to our District Councillor with the expectation of support but had received no reply. He had also written to the CEO of the Vale. No reply yet. It was noted that the houses were for sale. Cllr Bartle was to speak at the next Vale meeting. It was also suggested that a letter of complaint be written. It was agreed that a further letter would be sent before a formal complaint was lodged. c) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - (23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) - Vale policy on waste bins - No progress. d) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - Rough sleeper in Martens Road car park. CLOSED. PUBLIC REPRESENTATION a) SID Pole, Station Road. A resident questioned why the SID had not yet been installed on this pole. The process was explained. When asked whether a second SID could be purchased the Parish Council replied	24/34		nall
None. 24/96 MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING The minutes of the Parish Council meeting held on 6th March 2024 were approved. Proposed Clir Sheldon, Seconded Clir Pearson. RESOLVED. The minutes were signed. 24/97 MATTERS ARISING FROM LAST MEETING NOT ITEMISED ON THE AGENDA a) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - 23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/199 - 23/173 - 23/145 - 23/121 - 23/66 - 23/38 - 23/14) - Parking on the High Street. Consideration of options: Marked out plan had been circulated. Clir Howell talked through the plan. It was noted that the removal of yellow lines outside the methodist church might be considered in the future. The next step was to feed the Parish Council decision back to OCC who would then go out for public consultation. Plans were to be circulated to other parishes and to the Defence Academy. Clerk to contact them. It was hoped that the scheme would be up and running in the autumn. The plans were approved. Proposed Clir Howell, Seconded Clir Bartle. RESOLVED. b) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - 23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) - Planning enforcement matter. Clir Bartle had written to our District Councillor with the expectation of support but had received no reply. He had also written to the CEO of the Vale. No reply yet. It was noted that the houses were for sale. Clir Bartle was to speak at the next Vale meeting. It was also suggested that a letter of complaint be written. It was agreed that a further letter would be sent before a formal complaint was lodged. c) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - (23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) - Vale policy on waste bins - No progress. d) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/08) - Rough sleeper in Martens Road car park. CLOSED. PUBLIC REPRESENTATION a) SID Pole, Station Road. A resident questioned why the SID had not yet been installed on this pole. The process was explained. When asked whether a second SID could be purchased the Parish Council replied	24/05	•	
24/96 MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING The minutes of the Parish Council meeting held on 6th March 2024 were approved. Proposed Cllr Sheldon, Seconded Cllr Pearson. RESOLVED. The minutes were signed. 24/97 MATTERS ARISING FROM LAST MEETING NOT ITEMISED ON THE AGENDA a) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - 23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/199 - 23/173 - 23/145 - 23/121 - 23/66 - 23/38 - 23/14) - Parking on the High Street. Consideration of options: Marked out plan had been circulated. Cllr Howell talked through the plan. It was noted that the removal of yellow lines outside the methodist church might be considered in the future. The next step was to feed the Parish Council decision back to OCC who would then go out for public consultation. Plans were to be circulated to other parishes and to the Defence Academy. Clerk to contact them. It was hoped that the scheme would be up and running in the autumn. The plans were approved. Proposed Cllr Howell, Seconded Cllr Bartle. RESOLVED. b) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - 23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) - Planning enforcement matter. Cllr Bartle had written to our District Councillor with the expectation of support but had received no reply. He had also written to the CEO of the Vale. No reply yet. It was noted that the houses were for sale. Cllr Bartle was to speak at the next Vale meeting. It was also suggested that a letter of complaint be written. It was agreed that a further letter would be sent before a formal complaint was lodged. c) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - (23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) - Vale policy on waste bins - No progress. d) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/08) - Rough sleeper in Martens Road car park. CLOSED. PUBLIC REPRESENTATION a) SID Pole, Station Road. A resident questioned why the SID had not yet been installed on this pole. The process was explained. When asked whether a second SID could be purchased the Parish Council replied	24/93		
The minutes of the Parish Council meeting held on 6th March 2024 were approved. Proposed Cllr Sheldon, Seconded Cllr Pearson. RESOLVED. The minutes were signed. 24/97 MATTERS ARISING FROM LAST MEETING NOT ITEMISED ON THE AGENDA a) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - 23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/199 - 23/173 - 23/145 - 23/121 - 23/66 - 23/38 - 23/14) — Parking on the High Street. Consideration of options: Marked out plan had been circulated. Cllr Howell talked through the plan. It was noted that the removal of yellow lines outside the methodist church might be considered in the future. The next step was to feed the Parish Council decision back to OCC who would then go out for public consultation. Plans were to be circulated to other parishes and to the Defence Academy. Clerk to contact them. It was hoped that the scheme would be up and running in the autumn. The plans were approved. Proposed Cllr Howell, Seconded Cllr Bartle. RESOLVED. b) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - 23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) — Planning enforcement matter. Cllr Bartle had written to our District Councillor with the expectation of support but had received no reply. He had also written to the CEO of the Vale. No reply yet. It was noted that the houses were for sale. Cllr Bartle was to speak at the next Vale meeting. It was also suggested that a letter of complaint be written. It was agreed that a further letter would be sent before a formal complaint was lodged. c) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - (23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) — Vale policy on waste bins - No progress. d) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/08) — Rough sleeper in Martens Road car park. CLOSED PUBLIC REPRESENTATION a) SID Pole, Station Road. A resident questioned why the SID had not yet been installed on this pole. The process was explained. When asked whether a second SID could be purchased the Parish Council replied	24/06		
approved. Proposed Cllr Sheldon, Seconded Cllr Pearson. RESOLVED. The minutes were signed. 24/97 MATTERS ARISING FROM LAST MEETING NOT ITEMISED ON THE AGENDA a) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - 23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/199 - 23/173 - 23/145 - 23/121 - 23/66 - 23/38 - 23/14) - Parking on the High Street. Consideration of options: Marked out plan had been circulated. Cllr Howell talked through the plan. It was noted that the removal of yellow lines outside the methodist church might be considered in the future. The next step was to feed the Parish Council decision back to OCC who would then go out for public consultation. Plans were to be circulated to other parishes and to the Defence Academy. Clerk to contact them. It was hoped that the scheme would be up and running in the autumn. The plans were approved. Proposed Cllr Howell, Seconded Cllr Bartle. RESOLVED. b) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - 23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) - Planning enforcement matter. Cllr Bartle had written to our District Councillor with the expectation of support but had received no reply. He had also written to the CEO of the Vale. No reply yet. It was noted that the houses were for sale. Cllr Bartle was to speak at the next Vale meeting. It was also suggested that a letter of complaint be written. It was agreed that a further letter would be sent before a formal complaint was lodged. c) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - (23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) - Vale policy on waste bins - No progress. d) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/08) - Rough sleeper in Martens Road car park. CLOSED PUBLIC REPRESENTATION a) SID Pole, Station Road. A resident questioned why the SID had not yet been installed on this pole. The process was explained. When asked whether a second SID could be purchased the Parish Council replied	24/90		6th March 2024 were
minutes were signed. 24/97 MATTERS ARISING FROM LAST MEETING NOT ITEMISED ON THE AGENDA a) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - 23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/199 - 23/173 - 23/145 - 23/121 - 23/66 - 23/38 - 23/14) — Parking on the High Street. Consideration of options: Marked out plan had been circulated. Cllr Howell talked through the plan. It was noted that the removal of yellow lines outside the methodist church might be considered in the future. The next step was to feed the Parish Council decision back to OCC who would then go out for public consultation. Plans were to be circulated to other parishes and to the Defence Academy. Clerk to contact them. It was hoped that the scheme would be up and running in the autumn. The plans were approved. Proposed Cllr Howell, Seconded Cllr Bartle. RESOLVED. b) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - 23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) — Planning enforcement matter. Cllr Bartle had written to our District Councillor with the expectation of support but had received no reply. He had also written to the CEO of the Vale. No reply yet. It was noted that the houses were for sale. Clir Bartle was to speak at the next Vale meeting. It was also suggested that a letter of complaint be written. It was agreed that a further letter would be sent before a formal complaint was lodged. c) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - (23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) — Vale policy on waste bins - No progress. d) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/08) — Rough sleeper in Martens Road car park. CLOSED PUBLIC REPRESENTATION a) SID Pole, Station Road. A resident questioned why the SID had not yet been installed on this pole. The process was explained. When asked whether a second SID could be purchased the Parish Council replied			
24/97 MATTERS ARISING FROM LAST MEETING NOT ITEMISED ON THE AGENDA a) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - 23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/199 - 23/173 - 23/145 - 23/121 - 23/66 - 23/38 - 23/14) — Parking on the High Street. Consideration of options: Marked out plan had been circulated. Cllr Howell talked through the plan. It was noted that the removal of yellow lines outside the methodist church might be considered in the future. The next step was to feed the Parish Council decision back to OCC who would then go out for public consultation. Plans were to be circulated to other parishes and to the Defence Academy. Clerk to contact them. It was hoped that the scheme would be up and running in the autumn. The plans were approved. Proposed Cllr Howell, Seconded Cllr Bartle. RESOLVED. b) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - 23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) — Planning enforcement matter. Cllr Bartle had written to our District Councillor with the expectation of support but had received no reply. He had also written to the CEO of the Vale. No reply yet. It was noted that the houses were for sale. Cllr Bartle was to speak at the next Vale meeting. It was also suggested that a letter of complaint be written. It was agreed that a further letter would be sent before a formal complaint was lodged. c) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - (23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) — Vale policy on waste bins - No progress. d) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - (23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) — Vale policy on waste bins - No progress. d) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/08) — Rough sleeper in Martens Road car park. CLOSED PUBLIC REPRESENTATION a) SID Pole, Station Road. A resident questioned why the SID had not yet been installed on this pole. The process was explained. When asked whether a second SID could be purchased the Parish Council replied			Carson: NEGGEVED: The
a) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - 23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/199 - 23/173 - 23/145 - 23/121 - 23/66 - 23/38 - 23/14) — Parking on the High Street. Consideration of options: Marked out plan had been circulated. Cllr Howell talked through the plan. It was noted that the removal of yellow lines outside the methodist church might be considered in the future. The next step was to feed the Parish Council decision back to OCC who would then go out for public consultation. Plans were to be circulated to other parishes and to the Defence Academy. Clerk to contact them. It was hoped that the scheme would be up and running in the autumn. The plans were approved. Proposed Cllr Howell, Seconded Cllr Bartle. RESOLVED. b) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - 23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) — Planning enforcement matter. Cllr Bartle had written to our District Councillor with the expectation of support but had received no reply. He had also written to the CEO of the Vale. No reply yet. It was noted that the houses were for sale. Cllr Bartle was to speak at the next Vale meeting. It was also suggested that a letter of complaint be written. It was agreed that a further letter would be sent before a formal complaint was lodged. c) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - (23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) — Vale policy on waste bins - No progress. d) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/08) — Rough sleeper in Martens Road car park. CLOSED. PUBLIC REPRESENTATION a) SID Pole, Station Road. A resident questioned why the SID had not yet been installed on this pole. The process was explained. When asked whether a second SID could be purchased the Parish Council replied	24/97		ITEMISED ON THE
23/145 - 23/121 - 23/66 - 23/38 - 23/14) — Parking on the High Street. Consideration of options: Marked out plan had been circulated. Cllr Howell talked through the plan. It was noted that the removal of yellow lines outside the methodist church might be considered in the future. The next step was to feed the Parish Council decision back to OCC who would then go out for public consultation. Plans were to be circulated to other parishes and to the Defence Academy. Clerk to contact them. It was hoped that the scheme would be up and running in the autumn. The plans were approved. Proposed Cllr Howell, Seconded Cllr Bartle. RESOLVED. b) 24/65 (24/37- 24/05 - 23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) — Planning enforcement matter. Cllr Bartle had written to our District Councillor with the expectation of support but had received no reply. He had also written to the CEO of the Vale. No reply yet. It was noted that the houses were for sale. Cllr Bartle was to speak at the next Vale meeting. It was also suggested that a letter of complaint be written. It was agreed that a further letter would be sent before a formal complaint was lodged. c) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - (23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) — Vale policy on waste bins - No progress. d) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/08) — Rough sleeper in Martens Road car park. CLOSED. PUBLIC REPRESENTATION a) SID Pole, Station Road. A resident questioned why the SID had not yet been installed on this pole. The process was explained. When asked whether a second SID could be purchased the Parish Council replied	, 0 .		
23/145 - 23/121 - 23/66 - 23/38 - 23/14) — Parking on the High Street. Consideration of options: Marked out plan had been circulated. Cllr Howell talked through the plan. It was noted that the removal of yellow lines outside the methodist church might be considered in the future. The next step was to feed the Parish Council decision back to OCC who would then go out for public consultation. Plans were to be circulated to other parishes and to the Defence Academy. Clerk to contact them. It was hoped that the scheme would be up and running in the autumn. The plans were approved. Proposed Cllr Howell, Seconded Cllr Bartle. RESOLVED. b) 24/65 (24/37- 24/05 - 23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) — Planning enforcement matter. Cllr Bartle had written to our District Councillor with the expectation of support but had received no reply. He had also written to the CEO of the Vale. No reply yet. It was noted that the houses were for sale. Cllr Bartle was to speak at the next Vale meeting. It was also suggested that a letter of complaint be written. It was agreed that a further letter would be sent before a formal complaint was lodged. c) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - (23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) — Vale policy on waste bins - No progress. d) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/08) — Rough sleeper in Martens Road car park. CLOSED. PUBLIC REPRESENTATION a) SID Pole, Station Road. A resident questioned why the SID had not yet been installed on this pole. The process was explained. When asked whether a second SID could be purchased the Parish Council replied		a) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - 23/285 - 23/255 - 23/	/228 - 23/199 - 23/173 -
Howell talked through the plan. It was noted that the removal of yellow lines outside the methodist church might be considered in the future. The next step was to feed the Parish Council decision back to OCC who would then go out for public consultation. Plans were to be circulated to other parishes and to the Defence Academy. Clerk to contact them. It was hoped that the scheme would be up and running in the autumn. The plans were approved. Proposed Cllr Howell, Seconded Cllr Bartle. RESOLVED. b) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - 23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) – Planning enforcement matter. Cllr Bartle had written to our District Councillor with the expectation of support but had received no reply. He had also written to the CEO of the Vale. No reply yet. It was noted that the houses were for sale. Cllr Bartle was to speak at the next Vale meeting. It was also suggested that a letter of complaint be written. It was agreed that a further letter would be sent before a formal complaint was lodged. c) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - (23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) – Vale policy on waste bins - No progress. d) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/08) – Rough sleeper in Martens Road car park. CLOSED. PUBLIC REPRESENTATION a) SID Pole, Station Road. A resident questioned why the SID had not yet been installed on this pole. The process was explained. When asked whether a second SID could be purchased the Parish Council replied			
lines outside the methodist church might be considered in the future. The next step was to feed the Parish Council decision back to OCC who would then go out for public consultation. Plans were to be circulated to other parishes and to the Defence Academy. Clerk to contact them. It was hoped that the scheme would be up and running in the autumn. The plans were approved. Proposed Cllr Howell, Seconded Cllr Bartle. RESOLVED. b) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - 23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) – Planning enforcement matter. Cllr Bartle had written to our District Councillor with the expectation of support but had received no reply. He had also written to the CEO of the Vale. No reply yet. It was noted that the houses were for sale. Cllr Bartle was to speak at the next Vale meeting. It was also suggested that a letter of complaint be written. It was agreed that a further letter would be sent before a formal complaint was lodged. c) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - (23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) – Vale policy on waste bins - No progress. d) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/08) – Rough sleeper in Martens Road car park. CLOSED PUBLIC REPRESENTATION a) SID Pole, Station Road. A resident questioned why the SID had not yet been installed on this pole. The process was explained. When asked whether a second SID could be purchased the Parish Council replied		Consideration of options: Marked out plan I	had been circulated. Cllr
next step was to feed the Parish Council decision back to OCC who would then go out for public consultation. Plans were to be circulated to other parishes and to the Defence Academy. Clerk to contact them. It was hoped that the scheme would be up and running in the autumn. The plans were approved. Proposed Cllr Howell, Seconded Cllr Bartle. RESOLVED. b) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - 23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) – Planning enforcement matter. Cllr Bartle had written to our District Councillor with the expectation of support but had received no reply. He had also written to the CEO of the Vale. No reply yet. It was noted that the houses were for sale. Cllr Bartle was to speak at the next Vale meeting. It was also suggested that a letter of complaint be written. It was agreed that a further letter would be sent before a formal complaint was lodged. c) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - (23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) – Vale policy on waste bins - No progress. d) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/08) – Rough sleeper in Martens Road car park. CLOSED. PUBLIC REPRESENTATION a) SID Pole, Station Road. A resident questioned why the SID had not yet been installed on this pole. The process was explained. When asked whether a second SID could be purchased the Parish Council replied			
would then go out for public consultation. Plans were to be circulated to other parishes and to the Defence Academy. Clerk to contact them. It was hoped that the scheme would be up and running in the autumn. The plans were approved. Proposed Cllr Howell, Seconded Cllr Bartle. RESOLVED. b) 24/65 (24/37-24/05 - 23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) – Planning enforcement matter. Cllr Bartle had written to our District Councillor with the expectation of support but had received no reply. He had also written to the CEO of the Vale. No reply yet. It was noted that the houses were for sale. Cllr Bartle was to speak at the next Vale meeting. It was also suggested that a letter of complaint be written. It was agreed that a further letter would be sent before a formal complaint was lodged. c) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - (23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) – Vale policy on waste bins - No progress. d) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/08) – Rough sleeper in Martens Road car park. CLOSED. PUBLIC REPRESENTATION a) SID Pole, Station Road. A resident questioned why the SID had not yet been installed on this pole. The process was explained. When asked whether a second SID could be purchased the Parish Council replied			
other parishes and to the Defence Academy. Clerk to contact them. It was hoped that the scheme would be up and running in the autumn. The plans were approved. Proposed Cllr Howell, Seconded Cllr Bartle. RESOLVED. b) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - 23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) – Planning enforcement matter. Cllr Bartle had written to our District Councillor with the expectation of support but had received no reply. He had also written to the CEO of the Vale. No reply yet. It was noted that the houses were for sale. Cllr Bartle was to speak at the next Vale meeting. It was also suggested that a letter of complaint be written. It was agreed that a further letter would be sent before a formal complaint was lodged. c) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - (23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) – Vale policy on waste bins - No progress. d) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/08) – Rough sleeper in Martens Road car park. CLOSED. PUBLIC REPRESENTATION a) SID Pole, Station Road. A resident questioned why the SID had not yet been installed on this pole. The process was explained. When asked whether a second SID could be purchased the Parish Council replied			
was hoped that the scheme would be up and running in the autumn. The plans were approved. Proposed Cllr Howell, Seconded Cllr Bartle. RESOLVED. b) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - 23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) – Planning enforcement matter. Cllr Bartle had written to our District Councillor with the expectation of support but had received no reply. He had also written to the CEO of the Vale. No reply yet. It was noted that the houses were for sale. Cllr Bartle was to speak at the next Vale meeting. It was also suggested that a letter of complaint be written. It was agreed that a further letter would be sent before a formal complaint was lodged. c) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - (23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) – Vale policy on waste bins - No progress. d) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/08) – Rough sleeper in Martens Road car park. CLOSED. PUBLIC REPRESENTATION a) SID Pole, Station Road. A resident questioned why the SID had not yet been installed on this pole. The process was explained. When asked whether a second SID could be purchased the Parish Council replied			
plans were approved. Proposed Cllr Howell, Seconded Cllr Bartle. RESOLVED. b) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - 23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) — Planning enforcement matter. Cllr Bartle had written to our District Councillor with the expectation of support but had received no reply. He had also written to the CEO of the Vale. No reply yet. It was noted that the houses were for sale. Cllr Bartle was to speak at the next Vale meeting. It was also suggested that a letter of complaint be written. It was agreed that a further letter would be sent before a formal complaint was lodged. c) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - (23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) — Vale policy on waste bins - No progress. d) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/08) — Rough sleeper in Martens Road car park. CLOSED. PUBLIC REPRESENTATION a) SID Pole, Station Road. A resident questioned why the SID had not yet been installed on this pole. The process was explained. When asked whether a second SID could be purchased the Parish Council replied			
RESOLVED. b) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - 23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) – Planning enforcement matter. Cllr Bartle had written to our District Councillor with the expectation of support but had received no reply. He had also written to the CEO of the Vale. No reply yet. It was noted that the houses were for sale. Cllr Bartle was to speak at the next Vale meeting. It was also suggested that a letter of complaint be written. It was agreed that a further letter would be sent before a formal complaint was lodged. c) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - (23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) – Vale policy on waste bins - No progress. d) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/08) – Rough sleeper in Martens Road car park. CLOSED. PUBLIC REPRESENTATION a) SID Pole, Station Road. A resident questioned why the SID had not yet been installed on this pole. The process was explained. When asked whether a second SID could be purchased the Parish Council replied			
b) 24/65 (24/37- 24/05 - 23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) – Planning enforcement matter. Cllr Bartle had written to our District Councillor with the expectation of support but had received no reply. He had also written to the CEO of the Vale. No reply yet. It was noted that the houses were for sale. Cllr Bartle was to speak at the next Vale meeting. It was also suggested that a letter of complaint be written. It was agreed that a further letter would be sent before a formal complaint was lodged. c) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - (23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) – Vale policy on waste bins - No progress. d) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/08) – Rough sleeper in Martens Road car park. CLOSED. PUBLIC REPRESENTATION a) SID Pole, Station Road. A resident questioned why the SID had not yet been installed on this pole. The process was explained. When asked whether a second SID could be purchased the Parish Council replied			I, Seconded Clir Bartle.
enforcement matter. Cllr Bartle had written to our District Councillor with the expectation of support but had received no reply. He had also written to the CEO of the Vale. No reply yet. It was noted that the houses were for sale. Cllr Bartle was to speak at the next Vale meeting. It was also suggested that a letter of complaint be written. It was agreed that a further letter would be sent before a formal complaint was lodged. c) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - (23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) – Vale policy on waste bins - No progress. d) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/08) – Rough sleeper in Martens Road car park. CLOSED. PUBLIC REPRESENTATION a) SID Pole, Station Road. A resident questioned why the SID had not yet been installed on this pole. The process was explained. When asked whether a second SID could be purchased the Parish Council replied			
the expectation of support but had received no reply. He had also written to the CEO of the Vale. No reply yet. It was noted that the houses were for sale. Cllr Bartle was to speak at the next Vale meeting. It was also suggested that a letter of complaint be written. It was agreed that a further letter would be sent before a formal complaint was lodged. c) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - (23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) – Vale policy on waste bins - No progress. d) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/08) – Rough sleeper in Martens Road car park. CLOSED. PUBLIC REPRESENTATION a) SID Pole, Station Road. A resident questioned why the SID had not yet been installed on this pole. The process was explained. When asked whether a second SID could be purchased the Parish Council replied		, ,	, ,
to the CEO of the Vale. No reply yet. It was noted that the houses were for sale. Cllr Bartle was to speak at the next Vale meeting. It was also suggested that a letter of complaint be written. It was agreed that a further letter would be sent before a formal complaint was lodged. c) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - (23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) – Vale policy on waste bins - No progress. d) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/08) – Rough sleeper in Martens Road car park. CLOSED. PUBLIC REPRESENTATION a) SID Pole, Station Road. A resident questioned why the SID had not yet been installed on this pole. The process was explained. When asked whether a second SID could be purchased the Parish Council replied			
for sale. Cllr Bartle was to speak at the next Vale meeting. It was also suggested that a letter of complaint be written. It was agreed that a further letter would be sent before a formal complaint was lodged. c) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - (23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) – Vale policy on waste bins - No progress. d) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/08) – Rough sleeper in Martens Road car park. CLOSED. CLOSED PUBLIC REPRESENTATION a) SID Pole, Station Road. A resident questioned why the SID had not yet been installed on this pole. The process was explained. When asked whether a second SID could be purchased the Parish Council replied			
suggested that a letter of complaint be written. It was agreed that a further letter would be sent before a formal complaint was lodged. c) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - (23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) – Vale policy on waste bins - No progress. d) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/08) – Rough sleeper in Martens Road car park. CLOSED. 24/98 PUBLIC REPRESENTATION a) SID Pole, Station Road. A resident questioned why the SID had not yet been installed on this pole. The process was explained. When asked whether a second SID could be purchased the Parish Council replied			noted that the houses were
further letter would be sent before a formal complaint was lodged. c) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - (23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) – Vale policy on waste bins - No progress. d) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/08) – Rough sleeper in Martens Road car park. CLOSED. CLOSED. PUBLIC REPRESENTATION a) SID Pole, Station Road. A resident questioned why the SID had not yet been installed on this pole. The process was explained. When asked whether a second SID could be purchased the Parish Council replied			
c) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/05 - (23/285 - 23/255 - 23/228 - 23/202) – Vale policy on waste bins - No progress. d) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/08) – Rough sleeper in Martens Road car park. CLOSED. PUBLIC REPRESENTATION a) SID Pole, Station Road. A resident questioned why the SID had not yet been installed on this pole. The process was explained. When asked whether a second SID could be purchased the Parish Council replied			
on waste bins - No progress. d) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/08) – Rough sleeper in Martens Road car park. CLOSED. 24/98 PUBLIC REPRESENTATION a) SID Pole, Station Road. A resident questioned why the SID had not yet been installed on this pole. The process was explained. When asked whether a second SID could be purchased the Parish Council replied			
d) 24/65 (24/37 - 24/08) – Rough sleeper in Martens Road car park. CLOSED. 24/98 PUBLIC REPRESENTATION a) SID Pole, Station Road. A resident questioned why the SID had not yet been installed on this pole. The process was explained. When asked whether a second SID could be purchased the Parish Council replied			VP
24/98 PUBLIC REPRESENTATION a) SID Pole, Station Road. A resident questioned why the SID had not yet been installed on this pole. The process was explained. When asked whether a second SID could be purchased the Parish Council replied		. 3	Mantana Baadaan nad
24/98 PUBLIC REPRESENTATION a) SID Pole, Station Road. A resident questioned why the SID had not yet been installed on this pole. The process was explained. When asked whether a second SID could be purchased the Parish Council replied			Martens Road car park. CLOSED
a) SID Pole, Station Road. A resident questioned why the SID had not yet been installed on this pole. The process was explained. When asked whether a second SID could be purchased the Parish Council replied			
been installed on this pole. The process was explained. When asked whether a second SID could be purchased the Parish Council replied	24/98		
whether a second SID could be purchased the Parish Council replied			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·	•
that there were no plans for this.		whether a second SID could be purchased	the Parish Council replied
		that there were no plans for this.	

	b)	Parking on the High Street. A resident suggested signing alternative parking options.	
	The me	embers of the public were thanked for attending.	
24/99		TY COUNCILLOR'S REPORT	
	Clir Wa	re reported that Cllr Constance was now at home and was progressing	
24/100	_	CT COUNCILLORS' REPORT	
		en report had been circulated. The comment about working with parishes	
		relocation of refugees was noted. Councillors commented that no one had ed with this Parish Council about this.	
	CONSUIT	ed with this i ansh Council about this.	
24/101	CLIMA	TE EMERGENCY ACTIONS	BW
		able Shrivenham had started a campaign to make Shrivenham a plastic	
		mmunity. Cllr Watson had been asked to join their steering group as a	
		representative. They would like the council's support for the campaign.	
	Ciir vva	tson to circulate a motion to this effect in time for the May meeting.	
24/102	CHAIR	MAN'S ANNOUNCEMENTS.	
	a)		
		been planted in the cemetery in memory of the late Julie	
		Sarsons. The accompanying plaque had been ordered and a	
		small ceremony was planned for Julie's family and Council	
	L١	members.	
	b)	Meeting with Thames Valley Police . A meeting had been arranged for 18 th April at 10.30am.	
		arranged for To April at To. Soam.	
24/103		CEIVE AND CONSIDER REPORTS AND MINUTES OF COMMITTEES	
		ORKING GROUPS ORE Committee. The minutes of the ORE Committee meeting held on	
	a)	O&F Committee – The minutes of the O&F Committee meeting held on 26 th March were not yet available. Everything that was discussed was on	
		the agenda for this meeting.	
24/104		CEIVE SUCH COMMUNICATIONS AS THE PERSON PRESIDING MAY	
		TO LAY BEFORE THE COUNCIL Airspace proposals. Clir Coffey had spoken to Brize Norton.	
	a)	They were putting forward their own plans. Cllr Coffey was	
		monitoring this. Nothing further to discuss at the moment.	
	b)	Vale D C Playing pitches and leisure facilities strategy. The	
	,	only comment in the Vale Strategy about Shrivenham was about	
		a skate park in Shrivenham. The issue was where to locate it. It	
		was pointed out a that a skate park had been considered some	
		years ago, a suitable location could not be found. It was noted	RB
		that Shrivenham's MUGA had been missed from the report. Cllr	
		Bartle to respond to the consultation.	
	c)	Conservation and Management of historic buildings.	
		Correspondence had been received from a postgraduate student	
		who was working on the use of historic buildings. It was	
		suggested that the old school could be used as part of the	

		research and asked for the Parish Council's ideas on what the	
		site could be used for. It was noted that this was a purely	
		academic exercise. Members were asked to respond if they	
		wished.	
		World.	
24/105		CEIVE REPORTS FROM COUNCILLORS ATTENDING MEETINGS ON LF OF THE COUNCIL	
	a)	Cllr Ware had attended the first online Parish Council update	
		from the Vale about Afghan visitors. There were a number of	
		issues raised which were all down to lack of communication.	
		There was to be a one off in person meeting specifically for	
		Shrivenham and Watchfield Parish Councils. Cllrs Ware, Bartle	
		and Sheldon will attend.	
	b)	Cllr Woodhouse had attended the PTR meeting. There was not	
		much that related to Shrivenham. It was noted that:	
		a. Botley Road in Oxford would reopen in October.	
		b. There is the potential for a small grant toward community	
		transport running costs.	
		c. The bus service has had its budget reduced.	
		d. There is an Oxford Community transport meeting on 18 th	
		April. Cllr Woodhouse to send a link.	
		e. There had been no mention of the A420.	
		Cllr Coffey asked whether there had been any mention of the	
		Grove railway station. There had, but only at a very high level.	
24/106		CIAL MATTERS	
	a)	Finance Reports – February 2024. The reports were reviewed and	
	b)	approved. Proposed Clir Pearson, Seconded Clir Sheldon. RESOLVED.	
	b)	March Payments – The payments were reviewed and approved. Proposed Cllr Jones, Seconded Cllr Howell. RESOLVED.	
	c)	Interim Audit Report. The report had been circulated. No issues were	
		reported. The report was accepted.	
	d)	Grass and hedge cutting contracts for 2024. Four ITTs had been sent	
		out and two had been returned. The results were discussed. The quote	
		from Tactical was recommended. Proposed Cllr Bartle, Seconded Cllr	
	e)	Sheldon. Ten members voted in favour. RESOLVED. Tree Survey. Four ITTs had been sent out and two had responded. The	
		pros and cons of each quote was discussed. Ligna was recommended.	
		Proposed Cllr Coffey, Seconded Cllr Brown. Seven members voted in	
		favour, four against. RESOLVED.	
24/107	PLANI	NING MATTERS - NEW APPLICATIONS	
		a) P24/V0530/HH - Allorts, 10 Cleycourt Road. Removal of	
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
		existing conservatory and construction of single storey	
		existing conservatory and construction of single storey extension with pitched tiled roof. Response due by 12 th April.	
		existing conservatory and construction of single storey extension with pitched tiled roof. Response due by 12 th April. There were no objections.	
		existing conservatory and construction of single storey extension with pitched tiled roof. Response due by 12 th April.	
		existing conservatory and construction of single storey extension with pitched tiled roof. Response due by 12 th April. There were no objections. b) P24/V0614/LDE – The Bungalow, Highworth Road.	

24/108	PLANNING MATTERS - APPLICATIONS RECEIVED SINCE THE PUBLICATION OF THE AGENDA		
	None.		
24/109	PLANNING MATTERS – DECISIONS		
24/109	a) P24/V0397/HH – 4 Martens Close. Roof change, replacement		
	single storey side extension, rear dormer, new external insulation		
	and internal re-modelling. Awaiting determination,		
	b) P23/V2829/FUL – Defence Academy, Faringdon Road.		
	Installation of a ground mounted Solar PV system. The proposed		
	location is an unused tarmac area which once housed a		
	temporary sports building. The tarmac area has been unused for		
	a number of years. Granted.c) P24/V0096/HH – 6 Damson Trees. Erection of side and rear		
	single storey extension to include driveway widening and		
	associated landscaping. Awaiting determination.		
	d) P24/2837/LB - 40 High Street. To replace timber back door with		
	composite PVC door including new frame, handles, security		
	locks and pattern glass. Granted.		
	 e) P24/V0239/FUL – Shrivenham Park Golf course, Pennyhooks Lane. Change of use of one parking space to hot food vending 		
	van. Withdrawn.		
	van. vvararawn.		
24/110	PLANNING MATTERS – MAJOR DEVELOPMENTS		
	 a) Cala Development, Highworth Road. Cllrs Bartle and Watson 		
	had met with Cala regarding the path between the site and the		
	recreation ground. Solutions to the problem had been suggested		
	but the proposals required permission from senior decision		
	makers within Cala. The Parish Council had tried to block off the		
	path in the interests of public safety, but the blocks had been		
	repeatedly removed. It was noted that a resident had informed		
	the Council that Cala had now produced a design for the	514/	
	solution. There was concern that there had not been a meeting	BW	
	with Cala for some time. Cllr Watson to try and arrange one.		
04/611	PARIOU COUNCIL MEDOLES		
24/111	PARISH COUNCIL WEBSITE There had been no progress Cilr Howell to discuss the alletment page with Mr.	SH	
	There had been no progress. Cllr Howell to discuss the allotment page with Mr	ЭП	
24/112	COMMUNITY TRANSPORT PROJECT		
Z4/11Z	The group is fully functioning but someone with accounting skills was still being		
	sought. The bank account had been set up. An additional grant of £500 had been		
	secured. The S106 funding had been applied for. The organisation will be a CIO	SC	
	and will have 5 trustees. There was still a lot of documentation to be completed.		
	It was agreed that all funds held for the group would be transferred to their bank		
24/113	account. Proposed Clir Bartle, Seconded Clir Sheldon. RESOLVED. SCHOOL LIAISON	LF	
24/113	An open day had been held on 17 th March. The old school had now	JJ	
	closed, and the new school will open on 17 th April. The statue will be	30	
	moved to the new school. There was concern about the transport plan as		
	it had suggested that the hall car park could be used by parents. Cllr		
	Bartle had written to the Head about this. Cllr Brown pointed out that		
	Danie had written to the riedd about this. Oill brown pointed out that		

	parents would be getting instructions about the new school and agreed to	
	share them with the Council.	
0.4/4.4.4	OOMMUNITY OREEDWATOU	LB
24/114	A written report had been circulated. At the meeting it was reported that the poor weather had curtailed activities. 12 sessions had been held, all in the 20mph zone. 301 vehicles had been reported with speeds up to 41 mph. The SID had been moved. Clerk to ask OCC when the VAS units in the village would be updated. A request for solar panels to charge the SID was put forward. The batteries have to be re-charged every two weeks and it takes the team about an hour each time. The cost is just under £500 per unit (which includes delivery). The proposal was for the purchase of 5 panels. The volunteer team would install the panels. Cllr Ware agreed to make enquiries about the CPF fund. Cllr Bartle proposed purchasing the panels. Cllr Jones seconded. Ten members voted in	GP EW
	favour. RESOLVED.	
24//115	a) Memorial Hall projects. The S106 application for the toilets had been approved. It now needs to go to the Vale legal department for a Third Party Funding Agreement. It was agreed that the Clerk and Cllrs Watson, Bartle and Sheldon could act as signatories to the agreements for all three Memorial Hall applications. Proposed Cllr Bartle, Seconded Cllr Ware. RESOLVED.	BW/Clerk
	b) Community Art. The funds had been agreed and a meeting had been set up for the residents of Barrington Park. There had been no progress on the Queen's Crescent project.	IJ
24/116	ALLOTMENTS REPORT One plot had been given up and reallocated. All rents had been paid. A date in May was to be arranged for the spring inspection.	RS
24/117	a) Tuckmill Meadows SSSI. A meeting had been held today between the management committee and the Earth Trust. No report was available. BW to report at the May meeting.	BW
24/118	REPORT ON SOCIAL MEDIA A report had been circulated. There had been one post – for the Annual Assembly. Nine people had indicated that they were interested. The event was to be re-advertised when the agenda was published. a) Appendix to Social Media Policy. The appendix had been circulated. It explained what the Council would use social media for. It was noted that three people were authorised to post council information on social media: Cllr Brown, the Clerk and the Deputy Hall Manager. The appendix was adopted. Proposed Cllr Brown, Seconded Cllr Bartle. RESOLVED. b) Trending topics – Covered in Cllr Brown's report.	LB
24/119	ANY OTHER BUSINESS TO BE ADDED TO NEXT MONTH'S AGENDA. None. It was noted that there was to be a Community Led Housing meeting in the church later this month. Cllrs Bartle and Winfield were planning to attend. Cllr Bartle reported that this was his final meeting as Chair and thanked everyone for their assistance over the last eight years.	
24/120	DATE OF NEXT MEETING Annual Assembly – Wednesday 17 th April at 7pm. Annual Council meeting Wednesday 8 th May at 7pm.	

Minutes of Monthly meeting held on Wednesday 3rd April 2024 at 7pm In the Vic Day Hall at the Memorial Hall

The meeting closed at 21.10

